Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/082,904	MILGRAMM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: 71-Response to Non-final		
(1) <u>Arezoo Sherkat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Anna Vishev</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 20		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: To overcome lack of anticident basis for limitaion " said building" in claim 1, and also to amend and/or cancel claim 20. To amend claims 1 and 12 to overcome "potential" 112, 2d paragraph and 101 issue.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•	•	
A. Shicket		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)